Communications Law	
Fall 89 Exam No	
Raw Score	
Final Grade	
Question I (Clean Campaign Act) (40 points)	
Candidate for fed'l office (CCA & Section 312) vs. all elective offices (Section 31	
Sec. 315-candidate uses vs. Zapple doctrine & CCA-spokesperson	(4)
Sec. 315 goal-equal time vs. CCA-convince station to reject attack ads	
Sec. 315-equal time not nec'y free vs. CCA-free time obligation	(3)
Personal Attack Rule (Section 315 exception) (free time) vs. CCA	(5)
CCA vs. Identification Requirement of Section 317	(2)
315-no station censorship (even libel); CCA (in effect) reject some negative ads	
Sec. 326-no censorship by FCC-CCA requiremt rev. by station-government action	?-(4)
First Amend-intermediate scrutiny of content-based reqs (sub. int, narrow means)-	
Substantial interest-candidate responsibility-benefit voters but paternalistic	
Means-manner of ad not message?; not a ban on ads?-free time option	(5)
Miscellaneous	(2)
Question II (Anchor, Bechtel, Galaxy) (50 points) Anchor-a)efficiency(2);b)diversification(4);c)quantitative integration(2)_d)qualitative integration(5);e)overall assessment(3) Bechtel-a)efficiency(2);b)diversification(2);c)quantitative integration(4)_d)qualitative integration(5);e)overall assessment(3) Galaxy-a)efficiency(2);b)diversification(2);c)quantitative integration(2)_d)qualitative integration(3);e)overall assessment(3) Programming Quality	
Question III (Family Viewing Hour) (30 points) Chain Broadcasting Rules-general discussion-NBC decision, etc(4) Prime Time Access-comparison (goal - diversity, not specific program type)(4) Section 326 - no censorship, but rule would require program monitoring(4) First Amend-intermediate scrutiny (substantial int, narrowly tailored means)(3) Government Interest-child welfare, but no evidence of harm(4) Means-unregulated market enough, compelled programs, subjective judgmts(5) Indecency-comparison (channeling; but affirmative action not prohibition)(3) Miscellaneous (3)	- - - - -