Con Law-Harpaz-04-Exam No	Raw Score	Final Grade
Question I (GATES Apprentice Program	in Northeast (NE)) (50 p	oints)
EP-classif- gender-intermediate scrutiny-l	\ // \ I	,
imp gov int-help women start businesses-	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
substantial relationship-tight fit between s		• `/====
only plus factor-no specific weight-holisti	*	
good business idea-essential to NE purpo	* *	<u> </u>
are there less discrim alts?-encourage wor	·	•
Article IV Priv and Imm Cl-dif treatment	110	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
rt essential to interstate harmony-business	·	
substantial reason for dif in treatmt-encou		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
degree of discrim subst'ly related to end?		
But if nonresident, is it more likely may n	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
EP-res v. nonres; nonsuspect class; not in	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
rat'l rel betw use of classif & goal of enco	,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Dorm Com Cl - an additional basis for cla		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
designed to protect state's own economy		
Discrim ag non residents-but is there disc	-	` <i>'</i>
in NE?, but they are forced to locate busin	_	
Market Participant Exception-not regulati		
Miscellaneous		(3)
Question II (Federal Cosmetic Surgery Pr	otection Act) (50 points)	
Commerce Power-power to reg local com	7 1 - 7	
is CSPA a reg of eco or noneco activity-L		
cosmetic surgery (CS) is an eco activity-p	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
gov't reg. of decision to get surgery-that's	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
if eco-could Cong have rat'ly concluded s	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
big interst business-patients travel, drugs,		
depressing eco, but still eco effect; frees i	-	
if noneco activity-use Lopez factors to an	<u> </u>	
jurisdictional element- no jur'l element C	•	` /
some findings made by Congress, but do		
area trad'ly reg'd by states or fed?-no reg	-	
direct & subst'l effect on IC?-AA traveled		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
# of CSs; frees up \$, but reg. of decision s		
Due Process-right to change appearance b	_	
fund'l rt-precedent, history, tradition, pers		
nonfundamental right-precedent, history, t		
strict scrut-compg end?-avoid unnecy CS		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
undue burden test-med procedure like abo	•	
but operates as flat ban to AA who is turn		- 17
min scrut-ratl means to unnecy CS, is end	•	* *
Miscellaneous		(3)

Question III (Humane Trapping Law) (50 points)
<u>Dorm Com Cl</u> -any evidence of <u>eco protectionism</u> ?-avoid animal cruelty or hoard animals(3)
Discrim against IC-regs all trapping w/in state-no matter where ship or trapper resides(3)
Burden vs. benefit - harder to trap, but other traps available vs. avoidance of cruelty(4)
Market Participant Exception-CA law regs all trapping in CA not just by CA employees-under
DCC JJ is suing as private trapper and thus no MPE (if as state employee-CA argues reg'g its
own employees not private sector)-also impacts on int'l commerce, restricts access to natural
resources and regualtes a downstream activity and not a market state is participating in(3)
Preemption - is the federal law valid? - FEMBA in general - Commerce Power to reg. Migratory
Endangered Birds(MEBs) since birds travel in IC- regulation of IC itself(4)
reg trap'g-local eco activity-findings by Congress-affects future commerce; new products(4)
Express preemption-most effective trapping method-means body-gripping traps (BGTs) must be
used; but federal law doesn't say that it intends to preempt state standards(5)
Conflict-possible to comply w/both?-if state says no BGTs & fed says must use BGTs then can't
do both; but if fed says use trap that is effective & state allows then can comply w/both–(5)
Conflict in purpose-purpose of state law-stop animal cruelty by not using BGTs; purpose of fed
law-protect MEBs by trapping preditors-argue conflict in purpose or different purposes(5)
Occupation of Field-what field?-feds not fully occupying trapping field, but are feds occupying
trapping by state to protect MEBs; which gov't has traditionally occupied the field?(5)
Tenth Amendment-Cong can't make state enforce fed reg scheme-Printz-can't force state to hire
trappers & tell them how to do job-part of FEMBA that preempts invalid or, in the alt, fed govt is
not telling state to reg, but only how to conduct its own activities as in Reno v. Condon-(6)
Miscellaneous (3)
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Con Law-Harpaz-04-Exam No. _____