Con Law Fall 2012 Exam No	Raw Score	_ Final Grade
---------------------------	-----------	---------------

Question I (maple syrup labeling requirement) (48 points)

Dormant Com Cl issue(1), Discrim - not on face, but in effect? (local syrup preference)(4)
Protectionist motive?-protection of consumers vs. local maple syrup industry(4)
If discrim-legit purpose? any less discrim alt means to protect consumers?(4)
Balancing Test-benefit vs. burden-\$ loss vs. consumer protection-more than slight?(6)
Preemption issue(1), Valid fed law? commerce power-labeling of product shipped in IC(4)
Express nonpreemption language-if not inconsistent with act not preempted(3)
Is Act consistent or inconsistent with the FDA - concerned w/more than nutrition(3)
Implied-conflict-compliance w/both is possible-imitation label doesn't violate FDA(3)
Conflict in purpose-undermine fed purpose?- reduce nutrition?, increases label accuracy?(4)
Field preemption-does fed'l preempt field of maple syrup labeling?(4)
DP-nonfund rt, min scrut'y-apply(3), EP-syrup vs other imitation foods, min scrut-apply (6)
Miscellaneous(3)

QII (Technology Workforce Training Act) (60 points)

QIII (Stone Insurance Reform Act/abortion health insurance coverage) (36 points)
Nature of Right- Fundamental right-rt to terminate a pregnancy or economic rt(4)
Undue Burden Test (UBT)-DD argues places subst'l obstacle- poor women w/no insurance
(group most burdened) will be unable to afford to pay for abortion even if due to health-(5)
UBT Purpose-(DD)-argues purpose to hinder access to abortions-impermissible purpose(3)
Undue Burden Test-gov argues only makes it cost more, eco burden, not enough burden(5)
UBT Purpose (gov)-argues purpose to save \$/show respect for life as stated in preamble(3)
If undue burden, can gov't satisfy strict scrutiny standard?(3)
If not undue burden, can gov't satisfy minimum scrutiny standard?(3)_
Abortion funding cases analogy, but that was gov't \$, this is reg of private insurance(3)
No health exception in statute, only life exception-argue Casey requires both(2)
But not re whether can have abortion, about insurance paying so exception not relevant-(2)
Miscellaneous(3)