| Con Law - Fall 2007 - Harpaz - Exam No Raw Score Final Grade  |
|---|
| Question I (Eastfield Ferry Law) (50 points)  Dormant Commerce Clause(2)  |
| discriminatory on face? law applies equally to all in-state & out-of-state ferry operators-(4)  |
| discrim in effect?-benefits local ferries that currently operate/protects from competition-(4)  |
| economic protectionism - local companies & company w/ local pres are only companies(5)  |
| if discrim - are there nondiscrim alt means available?; is there a legit local interest?(5)   |
| if non-discrim-Pike balancing-benefits (less traffic, pollution, more safety)(4)  |
| vs burdens(no vehicle & high speed ferries on IS waters to E-like import ban?)(4)   |
| market partic exc-dock owned/operated by town, controlling use, not downstrm activity(4)  |
| monopoly over dock, control thru leg, control over services provided-downstrm activity(4)   |
| No P & I claim - no discrim against out of state residents, FF is a corporation(3)  |
| EP-discrim based on ferry type-eco classif, nonsuspect-rat'l basis S/R, applied to facts(4)   |
| <u>DP</u> -right to engage in eco activity - nonfund'l rt, min rat. test, S/R - applied to facts(4)   |
| miscellaneous (3)   |
| (-/   |
| Question II (Birth Certificate Change) (50 points)  DP-nature of rt - right to identity as female-personal liberty-fundamental vs. nonfund'1?-(2)  precedents-personal identity-Lawrence, etc. or Michael H, etc(3)   |
| tradition - any tradition of altering gender identity or identity on birth certificate?(3)  |
| right to personal identity-life altering decision vs. only altering legal records/legal status(4)   |
| mininum rationality test - applied to facts(3)  |
| strict scrutiny standard of review - applied to facts(5)  |
| undue burden test-applied to facts(4)   |
| EP-classif-gender? distinction based on sex? but males/females treated the same(2)  |
| classif-persons who change gender (transsexuals) vs. persons who keep their gender(2)   |
| suspectness traits-history of discrim, unrelated to ability, stigma, powerlessness-apply(6)   |
| immutable?(argue beyond indiv's control vs. surgery evidence trait not immutable)(4)  |
|   |
| nature of classif controls s/r-nonsuspect-min, semisuspect-intermediate, suspect - strict-(3)   |
| application of standards to facts-relationship between classif. and state's objective(6) miscellaneous (3)  |
| miscellaneous(3)  |
| Question III (Federal Schoolchildren Immunization Protection Act) (50 points)  Commerce Power-category 3- reg local activity(vaccinating kids) bec of eco effect on IC(2) eco vs. noneco activity-vaccines are an eco activity-health service that is purchased(3) noneco activity-decision by parent not based on eco factors (gov't pays if can't afford it)(3) if eco, congress rationally conclude local activity has sub eco effect on IC in aggregate(5) if noneco, Lopez factors-no jur elemt, any findings on link to IC?, trad'al area of st reg(5) relation to IC-remote/direct?-w/o vaccines, more disease, costs; less educ/productivity(4)  10th Amend-record keeping-keeping records/providing info not forcing states to regulate(4) pub schools must enforce-like gun check by sheriffs-can't enrol if no compliance rpt(4) feds applying gen'l reg'y program to state schools- public & private schs must comply(4)  DP-fund'l vs. nonfund'l rt- to refuse medical care; fund'l rt to raise children as want(2) fund'l or not?-precedents-Griswold, Roe, Casey, Moore, etc; tradition; personal identity(6) fund'l - strict; undue burden, nonfund'l rt - minimum scrutiny - S/R applied to facts(5) |
| miscellaneous(3)  |