| Con Law Fall 05 Harpaz | Exam No | Raw Score | _ Final Grade |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Question I (catfish labeling | 2) \ I / | merce power? | (4) |
| - | _ | ing reqs, but not to confuse | |
| | | <u> </u> | |
| | | mported Catfish label comp | |
| connection of field | ose of fed flaw? | | (4) |
| | | idence of lobbying, but state | |
| | _ | | |
| _ | | face, but in effect?, nondiscri | ` ' |
| * | · · | age) vs. burden analysis(los | 7 1 2 |
| | ption since state is | regulating | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| miscellaneous | | | (3) |
| | | | |
| • | | ral Railroad Pricing Act) (7: | . , |
| | | mentality of IC, or purely in | |
| <u> </u> | | lity justification is irrelevan | |
| • | | is it an economic or noneco | • |
| | | e and application of tes | |
| interstate eco enterprise-co | mprehensive reg'y | scheme, reg intrastate aspec | cts-Raich(3) |
| if noneco-Lopez factors-no jur element, no findings, attenuated effect, trad'l area st reg-(6) | | | |
| 10th Amend-interfere w/st | ate train, but part o | f reg of all RRs-no protection | on under Garcia(3) |
| not forcing state to adopt of | or administer prog- | st must comply w/ TFA- no | t the same thing(3) |
| Equal Protection-classifica | tion-same sex mar | ital couple vs. male/female | marital couple(2) |
| apply traits-history of disci | rim, pol power, imi | mutable, unrelated to ability | , inferiority(8) |
| | | tion of standard to facts | • |
| | | d application of standards to | |
| | • | racy - tradition, personal ide | |
| rt to enjoy privileges of family status-like Moore, right to marry like Zablocki, Lawrence(4) | | | |
| | | ngemt w/living arrangement | |
| | | d to facts - rat'l and/or strict | |
| | | it an undue burden on exerc | |
| miscellaneous | an abborate ban, is | The arrange of the choice | (3) |
| | | | (3) |
| Q III (excursion train resid | ency discount) (25 | noints) | |
| - 1 | | not corporation | (1) |
| 1 0 | | nonres or pt time vs full time | \ |
| <u> </u> | , | ecreational activity not incor | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| • | • | • | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| subst'l reason for discrim- residents contribute to taxes but so do all prop'y owners(4) | | | |
| degree of discrim subst'ly related to sub'l reason-100 % discrim, but nonres property tax(4) | | | |
| are there less discrim alt means - could grant partial discount to summer residents(4) no market participant exception available under P&I(1) | | | |
| | ption available und | ier P&I | |
| miscellaneous | | | (3) |